Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# Hui Hua, Guo-Sheng Huang,\* Yong-Min Liang, Xiao-Li Wu, Yong-Xiang Ma and Bao-Hua Chen

State Key Laboratory of Applied Organic Chemistry, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: huahui11707@yahoo.com.cn

#### Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 292 KMean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.005 Å Disorder in main residue R factor = 0.029 wR factor = 0.068 Data-to-parameter ratio = 13.5

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e. 1-Benzyl-3-(ferrocenylmethyl)imidazolium iodide

The title ferrocenyl imidazolium salt,  $[Fe(C_5H_5)(C_{16}H_{16}N_2)]I$ , results from the reaction of 1-benzylimidazole and (ferrocenylmethyl)trimethylammonium iodide in acetonitrile. It crystallizes in a monoclinic space group, showing disorder of a cyclopentadienyl ring.

Received 26 April 2004 Accepted 5 May 2004 Online 15 May 2004

#### Comment

The ferrocenyl moiety represents a quite bulky group with unique spatial requirements due to its sandwich shape, and electronically, the powerful donor capacity of ferrocene (Lukasser *et al.*, 1995). Thus, some ferrocene compounds are excellent non-linear optical materials, because they can act as strong electron donors and contain electron-flow bridges (Long, 1995), while carbenes containing ferrocenyl substituents are interesting compounds due to their stereoelectronic influence of the metallocenyl groups in terms of steric protection, electronic donation, and reversible redox chemistry (Bildstein *et al.*, 1999; Bildstein, 2001). The title compound, (3), was prepared *via* the reaction between ferrocenemethyltrimethylammonium iodide, (1), and 1-benzylimidazole, (2), as shown in the scheme below.



The structure of (3) shows that it is a quaternary ammonium salt formed from substituted imidazolium and an iodide anion (Fig. 1). The N1–C8 and N2–C8 distances are 1.317 (4) and 1.321 (4) Å, respectively. They are different from normal  $Csp^3$ –N (1.47 Å),  $Csp^2$ =N (1.28 Å) and  $Csp^2$ –N (1.36 Å) (March, 1977) bond lengths, intermediate between the  $Csp^2$ –N and  $Csp^2$ =N bonds. There is electron delocalization over atoms N1, C8 and N2. The N2–C11 and N1–C7 distances are 1.480 (4) and 1.469 (4) Å, respectively, close to normal  $Csp^3$ –N distances. Thus, the N atom at the 3-position of the imidazole ring forms the quaternary ammonium cation. In addition, the C11–C12 and C6–C7 distances are also a little different from normal values. The crystal packing is stabilized by a C–H···I hydrogen bond (Table 2 and Fig. 2).

Printed in Great Britain - all rights reserved

© 2004 International Union of Crystallography



#### Figure 1

View of (3), showing the disordered cyclopentadienyl ring and 30% probability displacement ellipsoids. H atoms have been omitted for clarity.



#### Figure 2

The crystal packing, viewed down the *a* axis. The  $H8 \cdots I$  hydrogen bonds are denoted by dashed lines. H atoms have been omitted for clarity.

## **Experimental**

The title compound was prepared according to a known procedure (Thomas et al., 2000). A mixture of (ferrocenylmethyl)trimethylammonium iodide (Rausch & Slegel, 1969) (0.77 g, 2.00 mmol) and N-benzylimidazole (0.32 g, 2.02 mmol) in MeCN (15 ml) was refluxed for 40 h. The solvent was removed in vacuo. The residue was a brown viscous liquid. This was dissolved in dichloromethane (2 ml) and ethyl acetate (500 ml) was added. After stirring, it was kept overnight at room temperature and orange crystals were obtained. Spectroscopic analysis, IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3453 (m), 2859 (m), 1557 (m), 1501 (m), 1451 (*m*), 1233 (*m*), 1145 (*s*), 1105 (*m*), 1078 (*m*), 1031 (*m*), 1001 (*m*), 821 (*m*), 716 (*s*), 626 (*m*); <sup>1</sup> H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 10.015 (*s*, 1H, 2-imiH), 7.443 (s, 2 × 1H, 4- and 5-imiH), 7.726 (m, 5H, Ar), 5.477 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>-Ar), 5.309 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>-Fc), 4.457 (s, 2H, CpH), 4.180 (s, 7H, CpH); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 134.971 (2-imi), 132.483 (C1 of Ar), 129.384, 129.262, 128.972 (Ar), 127.583, 121.600 (imidazole), 78.328 (C1 of Cp), 69.612, 69.536, 68.987 (Cp), 53.052 (CH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 49.800 (CH<sub>2</sub>Fc); analysis calculated for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>21</sub>FeIN<sub>2</sub>: C 52.06, H 4.33, N 5.78%; found: C 52.26, H 4.89, N 5.54%.

#### Crystal data

```
[Fe(C_{5}H_{5})(C_{16}H_{16}N_{2})]I
M_{r} = 484.15
Monoclinic, P2_{1}/n
a = 13.086 (2) Å

b = 11.043 (2) Å

c = 13.729 (2) Å

\beta = 92.11 (2)°

V = 1982.5 (5) Å<sup>3</sup>

Z = 4
```

### Data collection

Siemens P4 diffractometer  $\omega$  scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (SHELXTL; Siemens, 1994)  $T_{min} = 0.727, T_{max} = 0.845$ 4120 measured reflections 3593 independent reflections 2818 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 

#### Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$  $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0392P)^2]$ where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$  $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.029$  $wR(F^2) = 0.068$  $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$  $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.44 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \mathring{A}}^{-3}$ S = 0.93 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.47 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ 3593 reflections Extinction correction: SHELXL97 266 parameters H atoms treated by a mixture of Extinction coefficient: 0.0032 (2) independent and constrained refinement

#### Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

| Fe-C12    | 2.012 (3)  | Fe-C15     | 2.048 (3) |
|-----------|------------|------------|-----------|
| Fe-C17    | 2.017 (12) | N1-C8      | 1.317 (4) |
| Fe-C18    | 2.024 (6)  | N1-C10     | 1.369 (4) |
| Fe-C21    | 2.026 (17) | N1-C7      | 1.469 (4) |
| Fe-C13    | 2.027 (3)  | N2-C8      | 1.321 (4) |
| Fe-C20    | 2.033 (11) | N2-C9      | 1.370 (5) |
| Fe-C14    | 2.036 (3)  | N2-C11     | 1.480 (4) |
| Fe-C16    | 2.039 (3)  | C6-C7      | 1.513 (5) |
| Fe-C19    | 2.045 (7)  | C11-C12    | 1.487 (4) |
| C8-N1-C7  | 124.9 (3)  | C9-N2-C11  | 126.2 (3) |
| C10-N1-C7 | 126.6 (3)  | N1-C7-C6   | 113.6 (3) |
| C8-N2-C9  | 107.9 (3)  | N1-C8-N2   | 109.0 (3) |
| C8-N2-C11 | 125.7 (3)  | N2-C11-C12 | 110.8 (3) |

 $D_x = 1.622 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ 

Cell parameters from 34

 $0.30\,\times\,0.22\,\times\,0.22$  mm

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation

reflections

 $\begin{array}{l} \theta = 2.8\text{--}14.8^{\circ} \\ \mu = 2.32 \ \mathrm{mm}^{-1} \end{array}$ 

T = 292 (2) K

Block, orange

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.021$ 

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 25.3^\circ$ 

 $h = 0 \rightarrow 15$ 

 $k = 0 \rightarrow 13$ 

 $l = -16 \rightarrow 16$ 

3 standard reflections

every 97 reflections

intensity decay: 3.5%

#### Table 2

|   | Hydrogen-bonding geometry | (Å, °). |  |
|---|---------------------------|---------|--|
| 1 |                           |         |  |

| $D - H \cdots A$ | D-H      | $H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$ |
|------------------|----------|-------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|
| C8−H8···I        | 0.96 (3) | 3.00 (3)                | 3.876 (4)    | 152 (2)                   |
|                  |          |                         |              |                           |

The unsubstituted cyclopentadienyl ring shows disorder between two positions, which were refined with occupancies of 67 and 33%. The H atoms attached to C8, C9 and C10 were found in a difference Fourier map and refined freely. All remaining H atoms were positioned geometrically and refined using a riding model  $[C-H = 0.93-0.93 \text{ Å}; U_{iso} = 1.2U_{eq}(C)].$ 

Data collection: XSCANS (Siemens, 1994); cell refinement: XSCANS; data reduction: SHELXTL (Siemens, 1994); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: SHELXTL; software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

The authors thank the NSF (grant Nos. 20021001 and 20172024) and the 'Hundred Scientist Program' of the Chinese Academy of Sciences for financial support of this work.

### References

- Bildstein, B. (2001). J. Organomet. Chem. pp. 617-618, 28-38.
- Bildstein, B., Malaun, M., Kopacka, H., Wurst, K., Mitterbock, M., Ongania,
   K.-H., Opromolla, G. & Zanello, P. (1999). *Organometallics*, 18, 4325–4336.
   Long, N. J. (1995). *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 34, 21–38.
- Lukasser, J., Angleitner, H., Schottenberger, H., Kopacka, H., Schweiger, M., Bildstein, B., Ongania, K.-H. & Wurst, K. (1995). Organometallics, 14, 5566– 5578.
- March, J. (1977). Advanced Organic Chemistry, pp. 22–28. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Rausch, M. D. & Slegel, A. (1969). J. Organomet. Chem. 17, 117-125.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Siemens (1994). XSCANS and SHELXTL. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Thomas, J.-L., Howarth, J., Hanlon, K. & Mcguirk, D. (2000). *Tetrahedron Lett.* **41**, 413–416.